



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/587,542	06/01/2000	Michael G. Luby	19186-001610US	19186-001610US 6523	
20350	7590 08/09/2004	EXAMINER (INER (
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP			BOUTAH,	BOUTAH, ALINA A	
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SAN FRANC	CISCO, CA 94111-3834	•	2143		
			DATE MAIL ED: 08/00/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

c.	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/587,542	LUDY, MICHAEL. G.				
nance, y neuen	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alina N Boutah	2143				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 01 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>01 June 2004</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. The proposed amondment(a) will not be entered because.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>see attached Office Action</u> .						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly				
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
B. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						
	BEST AVAILAB	LE COPY				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

8

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Request for Reconsideration

In response to Applicant's argument that Vicisano does not disclose or suggest "logic for reducing the sending rate of at least one of the plurality of layers over time," the Patent Office respectfully submits that this limitation is indeed taught by Vicisano in page 997, left column, lines 1-3. As previously stated, this recited area discloses that a congestion control algorithm must react signals by reducing the transmission rate. "Reducing transmission rate" is interpreted as "reducing the sending rate" as specified in the claim.

In response to Applicant's argument that the Examiner has impermissibly discounted the limitation "overtime" or "at least one of plurality of layers" in claim 1, as admitted by Applicant on page 5 of the remark, "Vicisano discloses multicasting using a number of layers, where each layer has a different bandwidth (i.e., sending rate)... overtime, a receiver can join and leave layers to reduce (or increase) the receiver's reception rate... a sender can reduce a sending rate according to congestion signals received by a receiver..." Applicant's admittance to the teaching of the reference clearly discloses that the sending rate of a layer is reduced overtime.

For the reasons above, the rejection of independent claims as well as dependent claims are sustained.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alina N Boutah whose telephone number is (703) 305-5104. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (9:00 am-7:00 pm).

Application/Control Number: 09/587,542

Art Unit: 2143

Page 3

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A Wiley can be reached on (703) 308-5221. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ANB

DAVIO WHEEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

DEST AVAILABLE COPY